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Abstract—A hybrid force plus position controller based on adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system proportional derivative + integral (ANFIS-PD+I), with unspecified robot dynamics has been proposed for a robot manipulator under constrained environment. The proposed controller has been employed as a principal controller to tune up orthodox PID gains throughout the complete trajectory tracking process. The validity of the proposed controller has been studied using a 6-Degree of Freedom (DOF) PUMA robot manipulator. Simulation outcomes illustrates that the projected force / position controller adheres to the desired path closer and smoother.

Index Terms—Adaptive neuro fuzzy control, degrees of freedom, force control, position control, robot manipulator.

I. INTRODUCTION

An industrial robot manipulator employs desirable force normal to a given surface for following a recommended motion tangential trajectory most of the time. A Modified Hybrid Control scheme was presented in [1] which accomplished an accurate position and force control for a robot manipulator in joint space by specifying the desired compliance in Cartesian space. A hybrid neuro fuzzy (NF) position/force control with vibration suppression was presented in [2], where an adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system was applied to estimate the inverse dynamics of the space robot. The quadratic optimization and sliding-mode based hybrid position and force control approach for a robot manipulator was presented in [3] where the optimal feedback control law was derived to decide matrix differential Riccati equation and a feed forward neural network was applied to tackle the dynamic model uncertainties. A neural adaptive control scheme for hybrid force/position control of rigid robot manipulators was presented in [4]. Based on decomposed robot dynamics into force, position and redundant joint subspaces, a neural controller was proposed to tackle the parametric uncertainties, present in the dynamical model of the robot manipulator. A novel neuro-adaptive force/position tracking controller in touch with a surface influenced with non-parametric uncertainties was proposed in [5] for a robotic manipulator The configuration dependent dynamical problem of the manipulator in constrained motion was dealt in [6] with the implementation of a hybrid force/velocity control for contour tracking tasks of unknown objects performed by industrial robot manipulators. An adaptive robust hybrid position/force control technique based on Lyapunov stability and bound estimation for a robot manipulator was proposed in [7]. The controller does not need the information of uncertainty bound. A position/ force controller based on the principles of invariancy for dividing of the task space into force and position subspaces was introduced in [8], to guarantee the overall stability. A hybrid impedance force / position control scheme was developed and presented in [9] for a redundant robot manipulator. The outer-loop controller improves transient performance, while the inner loop consists of a Cartesian-level potential difference controller, a redundancy resolution scheme at the acceleration level, and a joint-space inverse dynamics controller. A stable as well as generalized architecture for hybrid position/force control is presented in [10], which can influence both joint positions and torques. It was shown that kinematic instability is due to inverse of the manipulator Jacobian matrix. A robust learning control algorithm for precise path tracking of constrained robotic manipulators in the presence of state disturbances, output measurement noises and errors in initial conditions was presented in [11]. The reference [12] proposed a hybrid position, posture, force, and moment control for a six-degree-of-freedom (6-DOF) robot manipulator for the surface contact work by expansion of the conventional hybrid position and force control. The issue of hybrid adaptive network-based fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) tuning methodology based force/position control of the robot manipulators mounted on oscillatory was successfully applied in [13]. When the system is in sliding mode, force, position, and redundant joint velocity errors will approach zero irrespective of parametric uncertainties so a novel sliding-adaptive controller Based on a decomposition of the rigid robot system with motor dynamics was developed in [14], which can achieve robustness to parameter variations in both manipulator and motor. A hybrid adaptive fuzzy control approach based position/force control of robot manipulators is proposed in [15] to solve the overwhelming complexity of the deburring process and imprecise knowledge about robot manipulators. A fuzzy neural networks based Position/force controller to deal efficiently with force disturbances signals was presented in [16], to search the direction of the constraint surface for an unknown object. There are many theoretical as well as practical hurdles which are still unachievable because of unspecified robot manipulator dynamics as well as environmental complexities. Standard approaches are.
appropriate when one has the precise mathematical modeling of all the fundamental parameters vital, are available. On the other hand, most of the time one has to work in real environment where the dynamic model as well as external environmental variables are unidentified [17]. Conventional controllers like PD and PI alone do not perform well in case of removing steady state error as well as to enhance the transient response. Recently, some of the hybrid intelligent type fuzzy proportional integral derivative (FPID) controller techniques have been combined with conventional techniques and presented in [18], which illustrates the improved steady state as well as transient response. A two input fuzzy system (TIFS) based digital type proportional integral derivative (PID) controller was presented in [19]. For achieving high robustness opposed to noise, [20] accumulated twin nonlinear differentiators with a conventional fuzzy proportional and derivative (CFPD) controller to develop a different hybrid fuzzy proportional and derivative (HFPD) controller. To unravel the nonlinear control snag, [21] integrated the fuzzy gain scheduling method with a fuzzy proportional, integral and derivative (FPID) control for industrial robot manipulator. To eliminates the requirement of precise dynamical models in Computed Torque Control (CTC) in the presence of structured/unstructured uncertainty, [22] combined the Fuzzy Control (FC) concept with CTC to solve the trajectory control problems of robot manipulators. The reference [23] presented a Self-Organizing Fuzzy Proportional Derivative (SOF-PD) control scheme, which exploits the simplicity and toughness of the plain PD control and enhances its gain, was offered for robot manipulators. To build and optimize the fuzzy models [24] proposed a HYFIS (Hybrid neural fuzzy Inference system), which inculcates the learning capabilities of neural networks with processing power of fuzzy logic. While considering the nonlinearities, uncertainties and external perturbations of an industrial robot manipulator, [25] proposed some new hybrid ANFIS (Adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system) control algorithms. The reference [26] used ANFIS for the designing of a controller based on inverse kinematic of an industrial robot manipulator.

In the present research paper, a hybrid force/position control approach is proposed for trajectory control of robot manipulator, which works well in the absence of anonymous dynamics of a robot manipulator in a constraint environment. The constraint has been taken in joint space by restricting the manipulator to move in Z direction. The proposed ANFIS-PD+I force/position controller follows the trajectory exceptionally smoothly with bare minimum error.

Section II establishes the actuator dynamic model for the n degrees of freedom (DOF) robot manipulator. The hybrid ANFIS-PD+I force/position controller is discussed in Section III. Section IV presents simulation outcome to reveal the efficiency of the proposed controller, followed by conclusions in Section V.

II. DYNAMICS OF ROBOT MANIPULATOR

The traditional dynamic model of an n DOF robot manipulator is presumed to be in the subsequent form [29]

\[ M(q)\ddot{q} + V_m(q, \dot{q}) + G(q) + F(\dot{q}) = \tau \]  

(1)

where \( M(q) \in \mathbb{R}^{nxn} \) represents the inertia matrix, \( V_m(q, \dot{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^{nxn} \) represents the centripetal-coriolis matrix, \( G(q) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) represents the gravity effects, \( F(\dot{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) denotes the friction effects, \( \tau(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) signifies the torque input control vector and \( q(t), \dot{q}(t), \ddot{q}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) signify link position, velocity and acceleration correspondingly. If armature inductance is insignificant in the case of electric motors then the dynamics of n decoupled armature-controlled DC motors are

\[ J_M\ddot{q}_M + B\dot{q}_M + F_M + R\tau = K_Mv \]  

(2)

where \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the control input motor voltage, \( q_M = vec(q_{Mi}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( q_{Mi} \) is the i\(^{th}\) rotor angle, motor inertia is \( J_M \), rotor damping constant denoted by \( B_M \), \( F_M \) is the actuator friction. The actuator coefficient matrices are constants, specified as

\[
J_M = \begin{bmatrix}
J_{M1} & 0 \\
0 & J_{M2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
(B_{M1} + K_{bi}\cdot R_{mi}) & 0 \\
0 & (B_{M1} + K_{bi}\cdot K_{Mi}\cdot R_{mi})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix}
r_i & 0 \\
0 & r_i
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
K_M = \begin{bmatrix}
K_{Mi}/R_{mi} & 0 \\
0 & K_{Mi}/R_{mi}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Here \( R_{mi} \) is armature resistance, \( K_{Mi} \) is torque constant, \( K_{bi} \) is back emf constant and \( J_{Mi} \) is the motor inertia of the i\(^{th}\) motor. \( r_i \) is the gear ratio of the coupling

\[
q_i = r_i q_{Mi} \quad \text{or} \quad q = R\dot{q}_M
\]

(4)

where \( r_i \) is a constant less then1 if \( q_i \) is revolute else units of m/rad if \( q_i \) is prismatic. By putting \( q_m \) from (4) and \( \tau \) from (1), the actuator dynamics for a robot manipulator may be written as

\[
(J_M + R^2M)\ddot{q} + (B_M + R^2V_m)\dot{q} + (RF_M + R^2F) + R^2G = RK_Mv
\]

(5)
or
\[
M' (q) \ddot{q} + V'_m (q, \dot{q}) + G'(q) + F'(q) = K' \nu
\]  

(6)

where
\[
M' (q) = (J_M + R^2 M); V'_m (q, \dot{q}) = (B_M + R^2 V_m)
\]
\[
G'(q) = R^2 G; F'(q) = (RF_M + R^2 F); K' = RK_M
\]

(7)

After including nonlinear unmodelled disturbances, the (6) can be rewritten as [29]
\[
M' (q) \ddot{q} + V'_m (q, \dot{q}) + G'(q) + F'(q) + \tau_d = K' \nu
\]  

(8)

\[
M' (q) \ddot{q} + N'(q, \dot{q}) + \tau_d = K' \nu + \tau'
\]  

(9)

III. HYBRID ANFIS-PD+I FORCE/POSITION CONTROLLER DESIGN

A hybrid ANFIS - PD+I force/position controller applied to six DOF PUMA robot arm has been shown in Fig. 1. The ANFIS controller applied, is having two inputs and single output (TISO) structure. The inputs used are position error as well as integral error (\( e_{\text{m}} \)), velocity error (\( \dot{e}_{\text{m}} \)). The integral error (\( e_{\text{m}} \)) has been used simply to perform orthodox integral action.

![ANFIS-PD+I hybrid force/position controller.](image)

A. Force/Position Controller

The dynamic model of an n DOF robot manipulator with environmental contact on a prescribed surface is presumed to be in the subsequent form [29]
\[
M'(q) \ddot{q} + V'_m(q, \dot{q}) + G'(q) + F'(q) + \tau_d = \tau' + J^T(q) \lambda
\]  

(10)

where \( q(t) \in R^n \), \( J(q) \) a Jacobian matrix is related with the touching surface, and \( \lambda \) is contact forces vector applied normal to the surface. The restricted surface for robot manipulator is considered in Z direction in joint space. The Jacobian matrix is
\[
J(q) = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \dot{q}}
\]  

(11)

The constraint equation reduces the number of degree of freedom to
\[
n_i = n - m
\]  

(12)

To find the constraint motion along the Z direction the reduced order dynamics for robot manipulator is
\[
M'(q_i) \ddot{q}_i + V'_m(q_i, \dot{q}_i) \dot{q}_i + F'(\dot{q}_i) + G'(q_i) + \tau_d = \tau' + J^T(q_i) \lambda
\]  

(13)

where \( q_i(t) \in R^n \), \( L(q_i) \) is an extended Jacobian matrix given by
\[
L(q_i) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} I_{n_i} \, \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \end{array} \right]
\]  

(14)

The reduced order dynamics describing the motion in the plane of constraint surface is
\[
\ddot{q}_i + \ddot{\gamma}_i + \bar{F} + \bar{G} + \ddot{\tau}_d = \tau
\]  

(15)

where
\[
\bar{M} = L^T M'(q_i) L; \bar{V}_i = V_i(q_i, \dot{q}_i)L^T = L^T (V'_m + M'(q_i) L),
\]
\[
\bar{F} = L^T F'; \bar{G} = L^T G'; \bar{\tau}_d = L^T \tau_d; \bar{\tau} = L^T \tau'
\]

As \( J(q_i) L(q_i) = 0 \)

(16)

The suppositions and the necessary properties of the model given in (6) have been utilized in the proposed controller development are given as

Property 1: The inertia matrix \( \bar{M}(q_i) \) is positive definite symmetric and bounded above and below.

Property 2: The matrix \( (\bar{M}(q_i) - 2\bar{V}_i(q_i, \dot{q}_i)) \) is skew symmetric.

Property 3: \( ||\bar{F}(\dot{q}_i)|| = a + b \|\dot{q}_i\| \) for some unknown positive constants \( a, b \).

Property 4: \( ||\bar{\tau}_d|| = c \) for some unknown positive constants \( c \).

B. ANFIS Architecture

It combines the learning capabilities of neural networks with processing power of fuzzy logic. [30] proposed and explained the use of ANFIS for solving a fuzzy inference system under consideration having two inputs \( x \) and \( y \) and one output \( z \), which contains the rule base of two fuzzy if-then rules as

Rule 1:
\[
\text{If } x \text{ is } A_1 \text{ and } y \text{ is } B_1, \text{then } f_1 = p_1 x + q_1 y + r_1
\]

Rule 2:
\[
\text{If } x \text{ is } A_2 \text{ and } y \text{ is } B_2, \text{then } f_2 = p_2 x + q_2 y + r_2
\]

During the training of the network, the input is propagated layer by layer in forward direction while the error is propagated in backward direction. In layer 1, the membership function is applied on premise parameters. To show the firing strength, layer 2 multiplies the incoming signals. Layer 3 calculates the firing strength of the signals received and
forwards it to layer 4, which calculates an adaptive output for giving them as input to the layer 5, which computes the overall output. The procedural equations used at different layers are given in the flowchart as shown in Fig. 2.

Then the output of the hybrid ANFIS-PD+I force/position controller is, therefore

$$u(t) = \Big[ L_t^5 + K_r \int_0^t e_m(t) dt \Big] * K_a$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Here \( L_t^5 \) is the output ANFIS-PD controller. Thus a hybrid force position controller has the structure

$$\tau = u(t) - J^T(q) \Big[ \lambda_d + K_f \lambda_f \Big]$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

**IV. SIMULATIONS AND RESULTS**

For showing the efficiency of the suggested hybrid ANFIS-PD+I force/position controller, the simulation has been performed for a six DOF PUMA robot manipulator using MATLAB 2012b by considering the PUMA-560 robot manipulator dynamics from [31]. The following four cases have been considered to study the simulation results:

1. **Complete (C):** In this, we developed all the controllers based on (8), which represents the complete dynamical system including actuator dynamics.

2. **Without Friction (WF):** In this, we developed all the controllers based on (8), which represents the complete dynamical system including actuator dynamics. The only difference with the first case is that friction term is absent.

3. **Without Gravity (WG):** For this case we have designed the controllers based on a modified version of (8), which lacks of gravity term in it only.

4. **Without External Disturbances (WED):** Controllers are developed on a modified dynamic model based on (8) for this case. The only difference from first case is the absence of external disturbances.

The working efficiency of the controller has been analyzed by the data recorded through simulation for all the cases, which is shown in the following figures. The error tracking response of hybrid ANFIS-PD + I force/position controller is shown in the Fig. 4, which clearly depicts that the proposed controller is efficient enough to track the desired trajectory with minimum steady state error.

![Fig. 4. Output response of hybrid ANFIS-PD+I force/position controller.](image)

The performance of the controller can be checked through their output response which is shown in the Fig. 5.
$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -37.196 \cos(r^2) - 8.445 \sin(r^2 + r^3) + 1.023 \sin(r^2) \\ 0.248 \cos(r^2 + r^3) \cos(r^4) \sin(r^5) + \sin(r^2 + r^3) \\ 0.028 \sin(r^2 - r^4) \sin(r^5) \\ 0.028 \cos(r^2 - r^3) \sin(r^5) + [\sin(r^2 - r^3) \cos(r^4 - r^5)] \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$\tau_{de} = \begin{bmatrix} q^* \sin 3r + q^* \\ q^* 0.5 \sin(2r^2) + q^* 1.2 r^2 \\ q^* 1.2 \sin(r^2) + q^* 0.8 r^4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\tau_{dd} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \sin r \times q^* \\ 0.1 \sin(r^2) \times q^* \\ 0.1 \sin(r^3) \times q^* \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$F_c = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $F_d = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \times \text{randomwaveform}(pt \times t) \\ 2 \times \text{randomwaveform}(pt \times t) \\ 2 \times \text{randomwaveform}(pt \times t) \\ 2 \times \text{randomwaveform}(pt \times t) \\ 2 \times \text{randomwaveform}(pt \times t) \\ 2 \times \text{randomwaveform}(pt \times t) \end{bmatrix}$

where $\tau_d = \tau_{de} + \tau_{dd}$, $F = F_c + F_d$.

The actuator parameters are:

$K_p = [20, 10, 10, 8, 6]$

$K_D = [20, 8, 3, 2.0, 2.2, 2.0]$

$K_I = [0, 2.5, 1.0, 0.0, 0.0]$

The mean RMS error of the proposed ANFIS-PD+I force position controller is lower.

V. CONCLUSION

A hybrid ANFIS-PD+I force/position controller is suggested for the trajectory control of a robot manipulator arm with unspecified robot dynamics in real constraint environment. The system performance has been investigated extensively through simulation outcomes. The 6 DOF PUMA robot manipulator’s simulation results are used to show that the proposed controller is efficient enough to handle odd situations while following the desired trajectory. The practicability of the projected controller with an appropriately defined automatic tuning of the ANFIS system using evolutionary techniques may be explored further.
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